





Host Coaching Call #6

Onboarding Mentors & Jury + Solution Evaluation

#ShapingFuture

7th of June, 14:00 CEST

AGENDA



- 01 THE JURY'S WORK
- 02 SOLUTION SUBMISSION
- 03 EVALUATION & SCORING
- 04 JURY DELIBERATION
- **05 SUBMITTING YOUR WINNER SOLUTION**
- 06 WHAT HAPPENS NEXT?



01 THE JURY'S WORK

Before the evaluation, toward the end of the hackathon, take a moment to reconvene the jury and run through the steps of their contribution to the hackathon.

Solution presentation

If your event has a pitching and/or a Q&A session: each team gets **five minutes to pitch** their final solutions, including any demonstration or visualisations.

Offer the judges a strict 3 or

Offer the judges a strict 3 or 5 minutes per team to ask questions before filling in their scores.

Fill in Scores

After each brief Q&A session, allow the judges to take a moment to record preliminary scores and notes so that they don't forget their first impressions by the time they finalise their scores and attend the deliberation (if your event has one).

Deliberation

If your event has a deliberation: the deliberation moderator should aggregate all judges' scores per team and filter the top scores. Then, the jury discusses the results and agrees upon the winner(s).



O1 THE JURY'S WORK FOCUS ON SOLUTION PRESENTATION Q&A

These are recommendations on what the Q&A between teams and judges can look like.

Keep Questions To- the-Point

The jury should be given a strict 3-5 minutes for questions.
Advise the jury to ask short to-the-point questions, to leave as much time for answers as possible.

Focus of the Questions

The questions should be based on the evaluation criteria. As a Host/moderator it is recommended to have a few backup questions in. Make sure all teams gets a question: it is better for the mood of teams. Similarly, advise the jury to be prepared to ask a question to every team!

Example Questions

Who is your solution's primary beneficiary/targeted audience? What other fields/audiences your solution could serve? How long until the product/idea is ready?



02 SOLUTION SUBMISSION DELIVERABLES

These are recommendations and should be adapted to what deliverables you are asking for the team and what your event's evaluation process is.

Slides

Each team could create slides to guide their pitch, and submit these as one of the materials in the web portal for submissions.

Recorded Pitches

It is a good practice to ask teams to record their pitches (online and blended events), to reduce technical difficulties during pitching. Ask for a visible "floating head" over the slides to prove the recording is recent and by a team member. The videos can also be submitted in the web portal.

Demos or Extras

If you have any specific requirements for your hackers or they want to add more, you can find additional fields and file upload options in the form (Audio, Captions, Compressed, Excel, Image, JSON, Java, PDF, PowerPoint, Text, Video, Word).



O2 SOLUTION SUBMISSION IN PRACTICE

When to Submit Pitch Materials

Set a reasonable deadline for materials to be submitted prior to the solution presentation/ evaluation, perhaps 1-2 hours beforehand, to check that all deliverable files are viewable.

Teams can use the remaining time to practice the pitch.

Where to Submit Materials

Share the submission form link from your personal area ("participants" tab, link on the right of participant name, same link for all) with participants long before the submission deadline.
Only ONE MEMBER of each team should submit.

Approve solutions

Find submitted solutions from your personal space "solutions" tab.

From there, approve the solutions.

Approving means that you are sure it is unique, from your event.

Approving displays the solution publicly on digieduhack.com



O2 SOLUTION SUBMISSION APPROVE / PUBLISH THE SOLUTION

Personal area

My profile

- Account details
- G Logout
- DigiEduHack main Discord server

Challenge management

- General discord channel
- My challenge
- A Participants
- Solutions

You want to propose a solution to a challenge?

Become a participant

Solutions

Solution Title	Author Name	Team	Go live	Is winner
Example solution	Bertrand Brisbois	WOOGIE	✓ Visible	□No
			_	



03 EVALUATION & SCORING SCORING BENCHMARK

The jury will score the teams based on the evaluation criteria in the evaluation grid.

Scoring scales:

10 points criteria		15 points c	15 points criteria		
Excellent	9-10	Excellent	13-15		
Good	7-8	Good	10-12		
Fair	5-6	Fair	7-9		
Poor	3-4	Poor	4-6		
Not good	1-2	Not good	1-3		



Quality – Of the solution – 15 points

Guidance:

To what extent the solution is clear, straight forward and easy to understand its value proposition and objectives.

How well the idea responds to the needs and trends of digital education? To what extent the solution combines different technologies or approaches or stakeholder profiles and if it requires interdisciplinarity as well as collaboration to be implemented?

Quality – Of the team work – 10 points

Guidance:

experience/expertise and competence in the thematic field concerned, as well as the necessary capacity to successfully implement the idea? Do they have complementary skills? Do they show engagement, motivation and commitment to put the idea forward?





Relevance – To the spirit of DigiEduHack 2023

– 15 points

Guidance:

How well the solution matches its annual theme, the category and the challenges identified? To what extent demonstrates it could have a high potential impact bringing in added-value to a variety of target groups and triggering a positive significant change to the education context. To what extend anticipates future digital education key issues providing solutions to them?

Relevance – To a wider societal spectrum

- 10 points

Guidance:

To what extent the solution will have a **positive impact at**social and environmental level? Have inclusion and
diversity aspects been taken into consideration? To what
extent will vulnerable communities or minorities benefit?





Originality – 15 points

Guidance:

This criterion will consider the extent to which the solution is an original idea, having elements of innovativeness compared to what is already available or being done by the education sector practitioners. In other words, this criterion evaluates uniqueness, level of creativity and to what extend the solution proposed is disruptive.

Feasibility – 15 points

Guidance:

This criterion will consider the extent to which the solution is viable and if its description includes a tentative realistic implementation plan, highlighting main overall milestones, resources required, and key eventual barriers foreseen.





Sustainability – 15 points

Guidance:

This criterion will consider the extent to which the proposed solution, once implemented as a prototype, has the potential to successfully be further upscaled and to become a sustainable solution in the mid-long term.

Transferability – 15 points

Guidance:

This criterion will consider the extent to which the proposed solution could be used and applied in other relevant education/learning contexts or disciplines.



04 JURY DELIBERATION



- Host/moderator collects the scores from all judges.
- Aggregates the scores per team (points from Judge1+Judge2+etc).
- Jury will gather in a private area (can also be an online "room") for deliberation.
- To save time, it is recommended to start the discussion with the **top scored teams** according to aggregated scores, and discuss teams outside of the top scores if the jury wishes to do so.



04 JURY DELIBERATION



- Decide upon the **winning** team(s). You can have more than one local team as long as you only submit one grand winning team to the global competition. The grand winner should be chosen based on the highest jury score.
- Jury will settle on what **prizes** to award, as applicable.
- Decide if a judge wants to present the winning team at the awards ceremony.

It is recommended to book around an hour for this session, so the organisers have time to update slides/prize cheques with the awarded team names before the awarding ceremony.



O5 SUBMIT YOUR WINNER SOLUTION STEPS TO TAKE

After the winners of your hackathon have been chosen, you must select which of two solution categories they fit best:

- Social Impact
- Disruptive Technology

There is also a **experience level** designation for "beginner" or "experienced" hackers to select, based upon some provided criteria (next slides).

The winning team's information needs to be added to your host **personal area** on the website prior to the end of the Main Stage Event 13 November.

(See the <u>second web guide</u> for instructions on how to add this information.)

It is crucial that the winner can communicate about their solution in English!



05 SUBMIT YOUR WINNER SOLUTION₀€

EXPERIENCE LEVEL CATEGORY



Q1 Hackathon experience

Q2 Skills level

Q3 Maturity solution

QUESTION	YES	NO
Have the team members participated previously in several hackathons? It means they've had some exposure to similar events		
Do the team members have prior experience and knowledge about digital education, innovation, etc.? It means these topics are not new to them		
Have the team members already worked on the solution prior to their participation in DigiEduHack? It means the solution was not ideated during the hackathon		

RESULT: Two or three clicks on **YES** means "**Experienced**", except where the only YES is given to **Q1**. The resulting Category in that case would also be "**Experienced**".

The statement after each question is only for clarification purpouses

Answers to a question can only be YES or NO. As a reference, these questions are very much linked with Sub Criterion 1.2.

Result definition: 2 or 3 clicks on **NO** means "Beginners", except where there're 2 **NO**s given to **Q2** and **Q3**. The resulting Category in that case would be "Experienced".



SUBMIT YOUR WINNER SOLUTION of

SOLUTION CATEGORY

Q1 Addedvalue

Q2 Focus outcomes

Q3 Target audience

QUESTION	DISTRUPTIVE TECHNOLOGY	SOCIAL IMPACT
Does the solution introduce a novel tool or technology OR does it rather propose significant added value to the society?	☐ YES ☐ NO	□ YES □ NO
Does the solution focus on challenging and reshaping existing markets and aims to create economic value OR focuses on addressing societal issues and creating positive change? (monetary or non-monetary cause)	☐ YES ☐ NO	☐ YES ☐ NO
OR does it target individuals/ communities / specific societal groups that require assistance o'support?	☐ YES	□ YES □ NO

We count how many **YES** each sub-category obtained. RESULT:

The one having 2 or more will determine what the local winner is running for

Guidance - 1st part of the questions refers to colum "Disruptive Technology" and 2nd part to "Social Impact"

There can only be one **YES** and one **NO** per question.

Answers are exclusive: If we click YES to "Disruptive Technology", we have to click NO to "Social Impact" and Vice versa. As a reference, these questions are very much linked with Sub Criterion 1.1. (Quality of the solution proposed) and with Criterion 2



O5 SUBMIT YOUR WINNER SOLUTION PROCESS

- Approve and publish the solutions from your host personal space (see web guide).
- Select your local winner from your personal space.
- Choose the Global Award Category your local winner is competing for.
- Send the winner form link to the Winning team. They fill in more information about their solutions for the Global Award evaluation (they have 10 days to do this, via the "winners form"). Find the link in your personal area, solutions tab once solutions are approved.
- Attend the Main Stage Event online.



06 WHAT HAPPENS NEXT?



- DigiEduHack's Steering Group will determine which winners become **finalists** in the Global DigiEduHack competition. Finalists **revealed** in January 2025.
- The finalist solutions will then undergo a **public voting** early 2025, so the people can select their favourite solutions in each category.
- Elected winning teams will be eligible for the **global-level prizes** if they succeed at this tier of the competition.
- Global Award Winners of DigiEduHack 2024 revealed in March 2025.



